

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiess COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,501	07/05/2006	Jean-Christophe Giron	283486US0PCT	9280
²²⁸⁵⁰ 7 ⁷⁵⁹⁰ 109312998 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			NELSON, MICHAEL B	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Interview Summary	10/564,501	GIRON ET AL.
morrien cumuary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL B. NELSON	1794

Application No.

Applicant(s)

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

	(4) MICHAEL B. NELSON	(2)DAN DEDELDA		
	(1) <u>MICHAEL B. NELSON</u> .	(3) <u>DAN PEREIRA</u> .		
	(2) <u>CAROL CHANEY</u> .	(4)		
	Date of Interview: 10/23/08.			
	Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]		
	Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.				
	Identification of prior art discussed: Giron et al. (WO 2002/006889.			
	Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☑ N/A.			
	Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>A discusssion was made with requerds to paragraph 80 of Giron in order to clarify</u> the order to the glazing assembly. Specifically, the examiner pointed out that the disclosure indicated that the glazing assembly has an order of disas pane/active stack/EVA film/glass pane.			
	(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

/Carol Chaney/ SPE Art Unit 1794 /MICHAEL NELSON/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)